## Coalition for Climate Change Study & Action - Response to CESI Petition

June 18, 2007

TO: MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: PSC DOCKET NO. 06-241, CONECTIV ENERGY SUPPLY, INC.'S PETITION FOR REHEARING AND RECONSIDERATION

While Connective got a slightly higher point value in the evaluation of the bids made in response to Delmarva Power's RFP, HB #6 clearly gives the PSC and state agencies the authority to consider a broader range of issues, including the environmental and health impacts of the various technologies proposed and the likely higher future costs of fossil fuels because of limited supply and increasing demand, and the strong possibility of future economic penalties for carbon emissions.

All the criteria for bid evaluation and pros and cons of each have been considered at length; Conectiv introduces no new reasons for reconsideration now. Delay of the PPA negotiations as Connective requests would be disruptive, and would only delay getting on with what is needed: providing Delaware with new, environmental friendly, and innovative electrical generation.

We are glad that Conectiv is interested in bidding on wind power facilities in the future. The BWW facility, even if built with a 600 MW capacity, will use only a small fraction of the offshore wind resource off Delaware, so there will be plenty of wind left to develop. We hope that in the future, as more power is needed and future RFPs are offered, that Conectiv will compete with other companies to build economic and clean energy facilities.

We conclude that Connective's Petition for Rehearing and Reconsideration is without merit and should be rejected.

Sincerely yours,

Chad A. Tolman Coalition for Climate Change Study and Action